# Following the Science to Understand to Reduce Prejudice dial Harmful Consequence

#### Prepared by:

Stewart I. Donaldson Jennifer Villalobos Minji Cho

Claremont Graduate University | Prejudice Eradication and Education (PEEL) Lab

### **Executive Summary**



### Background

"Follow the Science" was the cry heard around the world during the global pandemic. This approach was used to develop evidence-based prevention measures (e.g., social distancing, hand washing, and mask-wearing), covid treatments, and vaccines, and to prevent major declines in well-being. The PEEL LAB has adopted the "follow the science" approach to understand how to reduce prejudice and its harmful consequences in post-pandemic times.



### **Study Process**

Our team conducted a multi-phase systematic review of 2,515 published peer-reviewed studies on the effectiveness of gender and race/ethnicity prejudice reduction interventions. The first phase reviewed meta-analyses and systematic review studies to identify major categories of prejudice reduction interventions. The second phase examined individual studies from the Phase 1 meta-analyses and reviews to identify exemplary evidence-based interventions for reducing prejudice and its harmful effects

### **Key Findings**

The study identified the followings:

- Four salient categories of effective prejudice reduction intervention types emerged:
  1) Contact interventions, 2) Perspective taking (i.e., Cognitive & Affective), 3) Interactive & narrative modalities, and 4) Multi-faceted interventions
- Six exemplary studies from various countries around the world, including U.S., Portugal, and Israel, with medium to high effect sizes



Extended Class Exchange Program

Berger et al., 2016 Israeli-Jewish, Israeli-Palestinian, Israeli-Ethiopian Elementary students, Israel



### **Immersive Virtual Reality**

Salmanowitz, 2018 Black American Undergraduate students, U.S.



Enacting Cultural Interests Project Brannon & Walton, 2013 Latino American Undergraduate students, U.S.



#### Prejudice Reduction through Music

Neto et al., 2016 African Elementary students, Portugal



Johnson et al., 2013 Arab Muslim Undergraduate students, U.S.



Yogeeswaran & Dasgupta, 2014 Hispanic American Undergraduate students, U.S.

## **Phase I Study**

Purpose: To identify major categories of effective prejudice reduction interventions for gender and race/ethnicity-based prejudice.

Search Engines: Web of Science & Google Scholar Meta-analyses and Systematic Review Papers Identified (N = 2,515)	
<ul> <li>Keywords: Prejudice, discrimination, racism &amp; Intervention, education, program in title or abstract</li> <li>Focus: Evidence-based interventions aimed at reducing Gender/Race/Ethnicity related prejudice/discrimination</li> <li>(N = 13)</li> </ul>	
Effective Prejudice Reduction Intervention Categories Identified Contact Intervention (N = 11) Perspective Taking (Cognitive & Affective) (N = 12) Interactive & Narrative Modalities (N = 3) Multi-faceted Intervention (N = 6)	*Not mutually exclusive
	Meta-analyses and Systematic Review Papers Identified (N = 2,515) Keywords: Prejudice, discrimination, racism & Intervention, education, program in title or abstract Focus: Evidence-based interventions aimed at reducing Gender/Race/Ethnicity related prejudice/discrimination (N = 13) Effective Prejudice Reduction Intervention Categories Identified Contact Intervention (N = 11) Perspective Taking (Cognitive & Affective) (N = 12)

**Contact Intervention** 

Interventions based on direct and indirect contact are the most robust and commonly used evidence-based prejudice reduction interventions. Effect sizes of various forms of contact interventions vary (e.g., intergroup, factto-face) range from d=.23 and d=.46.

Effective Prejudice Reduction Intervention Categories

### Interactive & Narrative Modalities

Interactive & narrative interventions include digital interactive experiences in which users create or influence a dramatic storyline through their actions or literary narratives. They are often instructional or education based. Effect sizes reported around d=.43.

#### **Perspective Taking**

Also referred to as cognitive or affective interventions. Perspective-taking is the ability to understand how a situation appears to another person and how the person reacts cognitively and emotionally to the situation. Effect sizes range from

d=.30 and d=.50.

#### **Multi-faceted Intervention**

Interventions often use a multi-faceted approach (e.g., direct contact experiences along with social-cognitive training programs to promote perspective taking). Effect sizes average around d=.43.

\*Not mutually exclusive

## Phase II Study

Purpose: To identify exemplary evidence-based intervention studies published within the last decade that were successful in reducing prejudice and its harmful effects.

Step 01 Quality Screening	Meta-analyses and Review Papers with High Quality Selected Study 1 Meta-analyses and Systematic Review Papers that Implemented a Quality Assessment (e.g., Cochrane's) Meta Analyses (n = 3) (n = 2)
Step 02 Identification	Pulled all individual sample studies from the selection of high-quality meta-analyses and review papers. Identified total sample studies (n = 421)
Step 03 Eligibility Screening	<ul> <li>Identify individual studies that met six criteria</li> <li>Study design: Utilized RCT, Quasi-experimental design</li> <li>Study setting: University, Lab, or Field setting</li> <li>Thematic area: Gender (excluding sexuality) and/or Race/Ethnicity</li> <li>Outcomes: Reduced prejudice (i.e., decrease in implicit or explicit bias)</li> <li>Results: Reported significant outcomes associated with the intervention</li> <li>Time: Study conducted during the last decade (i.e., 2013-23)</li> </ul>
<b>Step 04</b> Analysis	<ul> <li>Variables analyzed</li> <li>1) Country: The country in which the intervention occurred</li> <li>2) Theory: The theory behind the intervention</li> <li>3) Target population: The target groups that the intervention attempted to study the prejudice</li> <li>4) Intervention type: The intervention that the study used</li> <li>5) Effect size: Reported effect sizes of the intervention</li> </ul>
Step 05 Exemplar Selection	Exemplar Selection Criteria Selected a representative study based on theory type, high effect size, and diverse representation of target group (n = 6)

## Phase II Findings: Exemplar Prejudice Reduction Interventions

### Exemplar 1: Extended Class Exchange Program (Berger et al., 2016)

- Country: Israel
- Target groups: Israeli-Jewish, Israeli-Palestinian, Israeli-Ethiopian
- Setting: 3rd & 4th-grade students at an elementary school
- Theory based on: Contact Theory
- Intervention: In twelve 4-hour bi-monthly sessions, students were tasked with interacting with each other through creative activities, socializing and team building, and reflective activities.
- Effect size: 1) Reduced negative feelings toward the other,  $\eta^2 = 0.48$ ; 2) Reduced negative stereotype toward the other,  $\eta^2 = 0.38$

### Exemplar 2: Enacting Cultural Interests Projects (Brannon & Walton, 2013)



- **Country:** United States
- Target groups: Latino Americans
- Setting: Undergraduate students through a university lab
- Theory based on: Contact Theory
- Intervention: Non-Latino American college students were paired with Latino-American or Portuguese confederates and tested whether one interest did or did not match the participant's interest. Shared interests created social connections.
- Effect size: 1) Less anti-Latino prejudice with the social connection in the Mexican condition, d = 0.56; 2) Less anti-Latino prejudice with no social connection in the Mexican condition, d = 0.75

### Exemplar 3: Narrative Fictions (Johnson et al., 2013)



- Country: United States
- Target groups: Arab Muslims
- Setting: Undergraduate students on campus
- Theory based on: Perspective Taking Theory
- Intervention: Students were assigned to read a full narrative that included counterstereotypical exemplars and exposure to Muslim culture filled with the richness of descriptive language, dialogue, and monologue. Students in the control groups were assigned either a one-page summary of the narrative without the richness of detail or a brief history of the automobile.
- Effect size: 1) Reduced implicit bias in the full narrative condition,  $\eta^2$  = .092; 2) Reduced implicit bias in the condensed narrative condition,  $\eta^2$  = 0.076

## Exemplar Prejudice Reduction Interventions

### Exemplar 4: Immersive Virtual Reality (Salmanowitz., 2018)



- Country: United States
- Target groups: Black/African American
- Setting: Undergraduate students at a university lab
- Theory based on: Perspective Taking Theory
- Intervention: Students were assigned a black avatar in their VR spaces and allowed to see their movements in a mirror reflecting their virtual selves. They were instructed to do creative tasks, including tasks that require them to touch themselves to provoke the body ownership illusion. Control groups were either assigned a black avatar but without a mirrored image of themselves or assigned a white avatar. Following their VR experience, participants were asked to do a Mock Crime Scenario.
- Effect size: 1) Effects on evaluation of evidence and comprehensive verdicts,  $\eta^2 = .16$ ; 2) Reduced implicit bias,  $\eta^2 = 0.07$

### Exemplar 5: Prejudice Reduction through Music (Neto et al., 2016)



- Country: Portugal
- Target groups: Dark-skinned people (African)
- Setting: 6th-grade students at an elementary school
- Theory based on: Social Identity Theory
- Intervention: Students received 5-90 minute sessions of a cross-cultural music program, including both Portuguese (e.g., Fado) and Cape Verdean (e.g., Morna) songs.
- Effect size: 1) Reduced implicit anti-dark-skin prejudice at the end of the program,  $\eta^2 = .43$ ; 2) Reduced implicit anti-dark-skin prejudice after 2 years,  $\eta^2 = 0.21$

### Exemplar 6: Multiculturalism Education (Yogeeswaran & Dasgupta, 2014)



- **Country:** United States
- Target groups: Hispanic Americans
- Setting: Undergraduate students at a university lab
- Theory based on: Cognitive Theory
- Intervention: Students were randomly assigned to either read about multiculturalism construed in an abstract manner, a concrete manner, or to read about something neutral and unrelated to multiculturalism
- Effect size: 1) Reduced prejudice toward ethnic minorities in an abstract multiculturalism,  $\eta^2 = .19$ ; 2) Less threat to national identity in an abstract multiculturalism,  $\eta^2 = 0.16$

### REFERENCES

- **1. Berger, R., Benatov, J., Abu-Raiya, H., & Tadmor, C. T.** (2016). Reducing prejudice and promoting positive intergroup attitudes among elementary-school children in the context of the Israeli–Palestinian conflict. Journal of School Psychology, 57, 53-72.
- **2. Brannon, T. N., & Walton, G. M.** (2013). Enacting cultural interests: How intergroup contact reduces prejudice by sparking interest in an out-group's culture. Psychological Science, 24(10), 1947-1957.
- **3. Johnson, D. R., Jasper, D. M., Griffin, S., & Huffman, B. L.** (2013). Reading narrative fiction reduces Arab-Muslim prejudice and offers a safe haven from intergroup anxiety. Social Cognition, 31(5), 578-598.
- **4. Neto, F., da Conceiçao Pinto, M., & Mullet, E.** (2016). Can music reduce antidark-skin prejudice? A test of a cross-cultural musical education programme. Psychology of Music, 44(3), 388-398.
- **5. Salmanowitz, N.** (2018). The impact of virtual reality on implicit racial bias and mock legal decisions. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 5(1), 174-203.
- **6. Stewart, I. D., Victoria, C., & Jaclyn, G.** (2021). Following the science to generate well-being: using the highest-quality experimental evidence to design interventions, Front. Psychol. 12.
- **7. Yogeeswaran, K., & Dasgupta, N.** (2014). The devil is in the details: Abstract versus concrete construals of multiculturalism differentially impact intergroup relations. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 106(5), 7